Alternative proofs of three theorems of Chetwynd and Hilton

H. P. Yap and Z. X. Song

Department of Mathematics
National University of Singapore
10 Kent Ridge Crescent
Singapore, 119260

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give alternative and shorter proofs of three theorems of Chetwynd and Hilton. All these three theorems have been widely used in many research papers.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite, simple and undirected. Let G be a graph. We denote its vertex set, edge set, order, size, minimum degree and maximum degree by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $|G|$, $e(G)$, $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. We use rG to denote vertex-disjoint union of r copies of a graph G. If $x \in V(G)$, we use $N_G(x)$ (or simply N(x)) to denote the neighbourhood of x and $d_G(x)$ (or simply $d(x)$) the degree of x. If $A \subseteq V(G)$ we use $N(A)$ to denote the neighbourhood of A and use $G - A$ (or simply $G - x$ if $A = \{x\}$) to denote the graph obtained by deleting the set of vertices A and its incident edges from G, and if A and B are disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ we use $e_G(A, B)$ (or simply $e_G(x, B)$ if $A = \{x\}$) to denote the number of edges joining A with B. If $F \subseteq E(G)$ we use $G-F$ to denote the graph obtained by deleting F from G. For x, $y \in V(G)$, we write $xy \in E(G)$ if x and y are adjacent in G. We use K_n and O_n to denote the complete graph and null graph of order n, respectively. The *join* $G + H$ of two vertex disjoint graphs G and H is the graph having vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{xy | x \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$. Vertices of maximum degree in G are called *major vertices* and others are called *minor vertices*. We write $G \cong i_1^{n_1} i_2^{n_2} \cdots i_\Delta^{n_\Delta}$ if G has n_j vertices of degree i_j, where $j = 1, \cdots, \Delta$.

An *edge colouring* of a graph G is a map $\phi : E(G) \to C$, where C is a set of colours, such that no two adjacent edges receive the same colour.

The *chromatic index* $\chi'(G)$ of G is the least value of $|C|$ for which an edge-colouring $\phi : E(G) \to C$ exists. A well-known theorem of Vizing [9] states that, for any simple graph G, $\Delta(G) \le \chi'(G) \le \Delta(G)+1$. A graph G is called *Class 1* if $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ and is called *Class 2* if $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)+1$.

The *core* G_Δ of a graph G is the subgraph of G induced by the major vertices of G. We use $d_\Delta(v)$ to denote $e_G(v, V(G_\Delta)\backslash\{v\})$. If G is a connected Class 2 graph having $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ and $\chi'(G-e) < \chi'(G)$ for each edge $e \in E(G)$, then G is said to be Δ-*critical.* From Vizing's Adjacency Lemma (see Lemma 2 below) we know that if G is Δ-critical, then $|G_\Delta| \ge 3$.

In this paper we give alternative and/or shorter proofs of three theorems of Chetwynd and Hilton ([2], [3]). The original proof of Theorem 1 used a result of Chetwynd and Yap [5], whose proof is very tedious. Our proof given here do not use the result of [5]. The proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 given here are much shorter than the original proofs given by Chetwynd and Hilton. The proof of Theorem 4 given here is basically Chetwynd and Hilton's original proof. We include it here because it is more widely used than Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

2 Preliminary results

In this section we give a list of results which we shall apply in the next section. The proofs of Lemma 1 to Lemma 5 can be found in [10] and the proofs of Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 can be found in many textbooks on graph theory.

Lemma 1 [8]. *For any simple graph G,*

$$\chi'(G) \le \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Lemma 2 [9]. *Let G be a Δ-critical graph and let $vw \in E(G)$ where $d(v) = k$. We have*

(i) if $k < \Delta$, then $d_\Delta(w) \ge \Delta - k + 1$;

(ii) if $k = \Delta$, then $d_\Delta(w) \ge 2$;

(iii) $|G_\Delta| \ge \Delta - \delta(G) + 2$; and

(iv) $|G_\Delta| \ge 3$.

Lemma 3 [8]. *Let G be a Class 2 graph. Then G contains a k-critical subgraph for each k satisfying $2 \le k \le \Delta(G)$.*

Lemma 4 [1]. *There are no regular Δ-critical graphs for any $\Delta \ge 3$.*

Lemma 5 [2]. *Let $e = vw$ be an edge of a graph G. Suppose $d_\Delta(w) = 1$. Then $\Delta(G-w) = \Delta(G)$ implies that $\chi'(G-w) = \chi'(G)$.*

Lemma 6 [6]. *If G is a simple graph of order $n \geq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then G has a Hamilton cycle.*

Lemma 7 [7]. *A graph G has a perfect matching if and only if*

$$o(G-S) \leq |S| \text{ for all } S \subset V(G),$$

where $o(G-S)$ denotes the number of odd components of $G-S$.

Let J_s be a graph of order s and let $G_0 = J_s + O_{s+2}$. Let G_0' denote a spanning subgraph of G_0 such that each vertex of O_{s+2} is joined to at least $s-1$ vertices of J_s and at least one vertex of O_{s+2} is joined to exactly $s-1$ vertices of J_s.

Lemma 8. *A connected graph G of even order $2n$ has a 1-factor if*

(i) $\delta(G) \geq n-1$ except when $G = G_0$;

(ii) $\delta(G) = n-2$ except when $G = G_0'$ or $G = 3K_3 + K_1$.

Proof. Suppose G has no 1-factor. Then by Tutte's theorem, there exists $S \subset V(G)$ such that $o(G-S) > |S| = s$. Since $|G|$ is even, $o(G-S)$ and $|S|$ have the same parity. Hence $o(G-S) \geq s+2$ and so $s+(s+2) \leq 2n$. Consequently

$$n \geq s+1 \tag{1}$$

Let G_1 be an odd component of $G-S$ with minimum order among all the odd components of $G-S$. Then $|G_1| \leq \frac{2n-s}{s+2}$. Hence $\delta(G) \leq d(x) \leq \frac{2n-s}{s+2} - 1 + s$ for any $x \in V(G_1)$. Now we consider two cases separately:

Case 1. $\delta(G) \geq n-1$. Then $n-1 \leq \delta(G) \leq \frac{2n-s}{s+2} - 1 + s$ together with (1) implies that $s = n-1$ and thus $G = G_0$.

Case 2. $\delta(G) = n-2$. Suppose there exists $x \in V(G_1)$ such that $n-2 < d(x)$ or $d(x) < \frac{2n-s}{s+2} - 1 + s$. Then

$$n-1 \leq d(x) \leq \frac{2n-s}{s+2} - 1 + s$$

or

$$n-2 \leq d(x) \leq \frac{2n-s}{s+2} - 2 + s.$$

However, each of these two inequalities together with $n \geq s+1$ imply that $n = s+1$ and thus $G = G_0'$.

So we may assume that for any $x \in V(G_1)$,

$$n-2 = d(x) = \frac{2n-s}{s+2} - 1 + s \tag{2}$$

However, from (2) we have $|G_1| = \frac{2n-s}{s+2}$ and

$$ns = s^2 + 2s + 2. \tag{3}$$

Clearly, (3) does not hold for $n = s+2$ and $n = s+1$. If $n \geq s+3$, then (3) implies that $s \leq 2$. If $s = 2$, then from (3) it follows that $n = 5$. Hence $|G_1| = \frac{2n-s}{s+2} = \frac{10-2}{2+2} = 2$, which contradicts the fact that $|G_1|$ is odd. If $s = 1$, then from (3) again, we have $n = 5$ and thus $G = 3K_3 + K_1$. ∎

3 Proofs of theorems

Theorem 1 [2]. *Let G be a connected graph of order n with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 3$. Suppose $|G_\Delta| = 3$. Then G is Class 2 if and only if $G \cong (n-2)^{n-3}(n-1)^3$ (and thus n is odd).*

Proof. Sufficiency. We have $2e(G) = 3(n-1)+(n-3)(n-2) = (n-1)^2+2$. Hence $e(G) = \frac{n-1}{2}(n-1)+1 > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \Delta$ and so G is Class 2.

Necessity. Suppose G has three major vertices (a, b and c say) and is Class 2. By Lemma 3, G contains a Δ-critical subgraph H. By Lemma 2(iv), H has the same three major vertices a, b, c. By Lemma 2(iii) and Lemma 4, $\delta(H) = \Delta - 1$. Thus $H = G$. Since $|G_\Delta| = 3$, Δ must be even, and thus n is odd.

We next show that $\Delta = n-1$. By Lemma 2(i), $d_\Delta(v) \geq 2$ for each vertex v of G. Hence by counting the number of edges joining $A = \{a, b, c\}$ with $V(G) \backslash A$ in two different ways, we have $2(n-3) \leq 3(\Delta - 2)$. Hence

$$\Delta \geq \frac{2}{3}n \tag{4}$$

For $n = 5$ and $n = 7$, using (4) and the fact that Δ is even, we have $\Delta = n-1$. Hence we assume that $n \geq 9$. Suppose $\Delta < n-1$. Then G has a vertex $d \notin N(a)$. Let $G' = G - \{a, b, d\}$. Then $|G'| = n-3$. Since $n \geq 9$, we have $\delta(G') \geq (\Delta - 1) - 3 \geq \frac{2}{3}n - 4 \geq \frac{n-3}{2} - 1$. By Lemma 8(i), G' has a 1-factor F except when $G' = G_0$. However, when $G' = G_0$, we have $2s + 2 = n-3$ and $s = \delta(G_0) = \delta(G') \geq \Delta - 4 \geq \frac{n-3}{2} - 1$, from which it follows that $s = \frac{n-5}{2}$ and $\Delta = \frac{n-3}{2} + 3$. Since the degree of d is $\Delta - 1$ and d is adjacent to only two major vertices, therefore d is adjacent to $\Delta - 3$ minor vertices in G. Thus G' has at most $\Delta - 3 = \frac{n-3}{2} = s+1$ vertices of degree $\Delta - 4 = s$, which contradicts the fact that G_0 has $s+2$ vertices of degree s.

The above shows that G' has a 1-factor F. Now $G^* = G - (F \cup \{ab\})$ is Class 2. Since a is adjacent to only one major vertex c in G^* and $\Delta(G^* - a) = \Delta(G^*) = \Delta - 1$, by Lemma 5, $\chi'(G^* - a) = \chi'(G^*)$. Finally, since $G^* - a$ has only two major vertices, by Lemma 2(iv), $\chi'(G^* - a) = \Delta - 1$. Hence $\chi'(G) = \chi'(G^* - a) + 1 = \Delta$, which is a contradiction. Consequently $\Delta = n - 1$. ■

Theorem 2 [3]. *There does not exist any Δ-critical graph of even order having four major vertices.*

Proof. Suppose such a Δ-critical graph G exists. Clearly, $\Delta \geq 3$. Assume that $2n = |G|$ is minimum among all graphs G which are Δ-critical and having $|G_\Delta| = 4$, and Δ is minimum among all such graphs of order $2n$. Let a, b, c, d be the four major vertices of G and let $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$. By Lemma 4, G can not be regular. By Lemma 2(iii), $4 \geq \Delta - \delta + 2$, where $\delta = \delta(G)$. Hence

$$\delta \geq \Delta - 2 \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 2(i), $d_\Delta(v) \geq 2$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$ and so $2(2n-4) \leq e_G(A, V(G)\backslash A) \leq 4(\Delta - 2)$. Hence $\Delta \geq n$ and by (5),

$$\delta \geq \Delta - 2 \geq n - 2 \tag{6}$$

We first prove that G has a 1-factor F. Suppose $\delta = n - 2$. Then $n - 2 = \delta \geq 2$ and (6) imply that $n \geq 4$ and $\Delta = n$. Let $u \in V(G)$ be such that $d(u) = n - 2$. By Lemma 2(i), each vertex in $N(u)$ is adjacent to at least three major vertices. Now $3(n-2) + 2(2n - (n-2)) \leq 4\Delta = 4n$ implies that $n \leq 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\delta \geq n - 1$. By Lemma 8(i), G has a 1-factor unless $G = G_0$. However, when $G = G_0$, we have $\Delta - \delta = \Delta(G_0) - \delta(G_0) \geq 4$ (because all the major vertices of G are in J_s), which contradicts (5). Hence G has a 1-factor F. Clearly, $G^* = G - F$ is Class 2 and $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$. By Lemma 3, G^* has a $(\Delta - 1)$-critical subgraph H, which has at most four major vertices a, b, c, d. Suppose H has four major vertices. Then $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$ implies that $V(H) = V(G^*)$ and thus H is a $(\Delta - 1)$-critical graph of order $2n$, which contradicts the assumption that Δ is minimum among all graphs G of order $2n$ which are critical and having four major vertices. Hence H has three major vertices. By Theorem 1, $|H| \neq |G^*|$ and thus $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$ implies that there is only one vertex in $V(G^*)\backslash V(H)$. Hence, by Theorem 1 again, $2n - 1 = |H| = \Delta(H) + 1 = \Delta$. Since K_{2n} is Class 1 and $G \subseteq K_{2n}$ with $\Delta(G) = 2n - 1$, G must also be Class 1, which is a contradiction. ■

Theorem 3 [3]. *Let G be a graph of odd order $2n + 1 \geq 5$ with $\Delta = \Delta(G) \geq 3$. Suppose G is Δ-critical and $|G_\Delta| = 4$. Then $e(G) = n\Delta + 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2(ii), $d_\Delta(v) \geq 2$ for any $v \in V(G)$, which implies that $2(2n+1) \leq 4\Delta$. Hence

$$\Delta \geq n + 1 \tag{7}$$

It is known that there are three critical graphs of order 5. Beineke and Fiorini [1] had determined all the critical graphs of order 7. (for proofs of these results, see also Theorem 6.6 and Theorem 6.9 in [10]) All these graphs are of size $n\Delta + 1$. Hence this theorem is true for $n = 2, 3$.

We shall now prove this theorem by induction on Δ. Thus by (7) this theorem is true for $\Delta = 3, 4$. Now we can assume that $n \geq 4$ and thus $\Delta \geq n + 1 \geq 5$.

Let a, b, c, d be the four major vertices of G, $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$, and $\delta = \delta(G)$. Since $|G_\Delta| = 4$, by Lemma 2(iii),

$$\delta \geq \Delta - 2 \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 4, $\delta \neq \Delta$. Hence we have two cases to consider.

Case 1. $\delta = \Delta - 2$. Let $x \in V(G)$ be of degree $\Delta - 2$. By Lemma 2(i), each of the $\Delta - 2$ neighbours of x is adjacent to at least three major vertices of G. Hence

$$3(\Delta - 2) + 2((2n + 1) - (\Delta - 2)) \leq 4\Delta$$

from which it follows that

$$\Delta \geq \frac{4}{3}n \tag{9}$$

Now by putting $n \geq 4$ into (9) we obtain

$$\Delta \geq n + 2 \tag{10}$$

Applying (8) and (10), we have $\delta(G - x) \geq \Delta - 3 \geq n - 1$. By Lemma 8(i), $G - x$ has a 1-factor F except when $G - x = G_0$. However, when $G - x = G_0$, we have $A \subseteq V(J_s)$ and thus $\Delta(G_0) - \delta(G_0) \geq 4$, which contradicts the fact that $3 = \Delta - (\Delta - 3) \geq \Delta(G - x) - \delta(G - x)$. Clearly $G^* = G - F$ is Class 2 and $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$. By Lemma 3, G^* contains a $(\Delta - 1)$-critical subgraph H, which has at most four major vertices a, b, c and d. Suppose H has three major vertices. Since $d_\Delta(v) \geq 2$ for any $v \in A$, we have $A \subseteq V(H)$. By Theorem 1, $\delta(H) = \Delta(H) - 1$. Also by Theorem 2, $|G^*| - |H| \neq 1$. Now $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$ implies that $V(H) = V(G^*)$ and thus $2n + 1 = |G^*| = |H| = \Delta(H) + 1 = (\Delta - 1) + 1 = \Delta$, which is false. Hence H has four major vertices. Now $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$ also implies that $V(H) = V(G^*)$. By the induction hypothesis on Δ, $e(H) = n(\Delta - 1) + 1$. Consequently $e(G) \geq e(H) + n = (n(\Delta - 1) + 1) + n = n\Delta + 1$. Since G is Δ-critical, $e(G) \leq n\Delta + 1$. Therefore $e(G) = n\Delta + 1$.

Case 2. $\delta = \Delta - 1$. We shall prove this case by contradiction also. Suppose $e(G) \leq n\Delta$. Then $4\Delta + (2n - 3)(\Delta - 1) = 2e(G) \leq 2n\Delta$, from which it follows that

$$\Delta \text{ is odd and } \Delta \leq 2n - 3 \tag{11}$$

We consider two subcases separately.

Subcase 2.1. G has a minor vertex w such that $d_\Delta(w) = 2$.

Suppose $\Delta \geq n+2$. Let $wc, wd \in E(G)$. By (11), $d(w) = \Delta - 1 \leq 2n-4$. Hence G has a minor vertex x such that $wx \notin E(G)$. Let $G' = G - \{w, x, d\}$. Then $\Delta(G') \geq \Delta - 2$ and $\delta(G') \geq (\Delta - 1) - 3 = \Delta - 4 \geq (n-1) - 1$, by Lemma 8(i), G' has a 1-factor F except when $G' = G_0$. However, when $G' = G_0$, we have $s = n - 2$ and $s = \delta(G_0) = \delta(G') \geq \Delta - 4$, from which it follows that $\Delta = n + 2$. Since w is adjacent to $\Delta - 3$ minor vertices in G, therefore G' has at most $\Delta - 3 = n - 1 = s + 1$ vertices of degree $\Delta - 4 = n - 2 = s$, contradicting the fact that G_0 has $s + 2$ vertices of degree s. Hence $G - x$ has a 1-factor $F \cup \{wd\}$. Now $G^* = G - (F \cup \{wd\})$ is Class 2 and $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$. Observe that the major vertices of G^* are a, b, c, d, x. Since w is adjacent to only one major vertex c in G^*, we have $\Delta(G^* - w) = \Delta(G^*) = \Delta - 1$. By Lemma 5, $\chi'(G^* - w) = \chi'(G^*)$. Hence by Lemma 3, $G^* - w$ contains a $(\Delta - 1)$-critical subgraph H, which has at most four major vertices a, b, d, x. Let $S = N_{G^*}(w) \backslash A$. Since $d_\Delta(w) = 2$, $|S| = \Delta - 3$.

Suppose $S \cap V(H) \neq \phi$. Then $\delta(H) \leq (\Delta - 2) - 1 = \Delta(H) - 2$. By Theorem 1, H can not have only three major vertices. Hence H has four major vertices. By Lemma 2(iii), we have $\delta(H) = \Delta(H) - 2$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis on Δ, $2e(H) = (|H| - 1)\Delta(H) + 2$. Suppose H has at least two vertices of degree $\Delta(H) - 2$. Then $(|H| - 1)\Delta(H) + 2 = 2e(H) \leq 2(\Delta(H) - 2) + (|H| - 6)(\Delta(H) - 1) + 4\Delta(H)$, from which it follows that $|H| \leq \Delta(H)$, which is false. Hence H has only one vertex of degree $\Delta(H) - 2$. Since $d_\Delta(c) \geq 2$, we have $c \in V(H)$ and thus $A \subset V(H)$. Next, since every vertex in $S \cap V(H)$ is of degree $\Delta(H) - 2$ in $G^* - w$, the only vertex of degree $\Delta(H) - 2$ in H must be a vertex in $S \cap V(H)$. Hence $d_H(c) = \Delta(H) - 1$. Finally $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$ implies that $N_{G^* - w}(A) = V(G^* - w)$. Since $A \subset V(H)$, we have $V(H) = V(G^* - w)$. Consequently, $|H| = |G^* - w| = 2n$, which contradicts Theorem 2.

Suppose $S \cap V(H) = \phi$. Then $\Delta = \Delta(H) + 1 \leq |H| \leq |G^* - w| - |S| = 2n - (\Delta - 3)$, from which it follows that $\Delta \leq n + 1$, which contradicts the assumption that $\Delta \geq n + 2$.

By (7), it remains to consider the case that $\Delta = n + 1$. Suppose G has t vertices v such that $d_\Delta(v) \geq 3$. Then $3t + 2((2n + 1) - t) \leq 4\Delta = 4(n + 1)$ implies that $t \leq 2$. From this, it also follows that $\delta(G_\Delta) = 2$. Let $a, b, c \in A$ be such that $d_\Delta(a) = 2$ and ab, $ac \in E(G)$. By (11), $|V(G)\backslash(N(a) \cup A)| \geq (2n + 1) - (n + 2) = n - 1 \geq 3$. Now $t \leq 2$ implies that there exists $x \in (V(G)\backslash A)$ satisfying $xa \notin E(G)$ and $d_\Delta(x) = 2$. Let $G' = G - \{x, a, b\}$. Clearly, $\delta(G') \geq \Delta - 4 = (n - 1) - 2$, by Lemma 8, G'

has a 1-factor F except when $G' = G_0$, G'_0 or $3K_3 + K_1$. If $G' = 3K_3 + K_1$, then $(2n+1) - 3 = 10$ implies that $n = 6$ and thus $\Delta = 7$, which contradicts the fact that $\Delta(3K_3 + K_1) = 9$. If $G' = G_0$ or G'_0, then $s = n - 2$. Since $ad \notin E(G)$, we have $d_{G'}(d) \geq \Delta - 2 = s + 1$, and so $d \in V(J_s)$. Let $Y = G - V(O_{s+2})$. Suppose $c \in V(O_{s+2})$. Observe that $e_G(v, A \backslash \{c\}) \geq 1$ for any $v \in V(Y)$. Thus $e(Y) \geq s + 2$. Now $(s + 1)(\Delta - 1) + \Delta \leq e(V(O_{s+2}), V(Y)) \leq 3\Delta + s(\Delta - 1) - 2e(Y)$ implies that $\Delta \geq 2n - 1$, which contradicts (11). Hence $c \in V(O_{s+2})$. Since $d_\Delta(v) \geq 2$ for any $v \in V(G)$, we have $e(Y) \geq 2s + 2$. Again, $(s + 2)(\Delta - 1) \leq e(V(O_{s+2}), V(Y)) \leq 4\Delta + (s - 1)(\Delta - 1) - 2e(Y)$ implies that $\Delta \geq 2n + 1$, which is impossible. Consequently, $G - x$ has a 1-factor $F \cup \{ab\}$.

Clearly, $G^* = G - (F \cup \{ab\})$ is Class 2. Since a is adjacent to only one major vertex c in G^*, we have $\Delta(G^* - a) = \Delta(G^*) = \Delta - 1$. By Lemma 5, $\chi'(G^* - a) = \chi'(G^*)$. Hence by Lemma 3, $G^* - a$ contains a $(\Delta - 1)$-critical subgraph H, which has at most three major vertices b, d and x. Since $d_\Delta(c) \geq 2$, $c \in V(H)$. By Theorem 1, x is adjacent to every vertex in H and in particular xb, xc, $xd \in E(H)$. Thus $d_\Delta(x) \geq 3$ in G, which contradicts the fact that $d_\Delta(x) = 2$.

Subcase 2.2. For any minor vertex v of G, $d_\Delta(v) \geq 3$.

By Lemma 2(i), $3((2n + 1) - 4) \leq 4(\Delta - 2)$. Hence $4\Delta \geq 6n - 1$. This together with (11) implies that $n \geq 6$ and $\Delta \geq n + 3$. By (11), G has a minor vertex y which is not adjacent to d. Let db, $dc \in E(G)$ and let $G' = G - \{y, d, b\}$. Then $\delta(G') \geq (\Delta - 1) - 3 \geq n - 1$, and thus by Lemma 6, G' has a 1-factor F_1. Now $G'' = G - (F_1 \cup \{db\})$ is Class 2 and having five major vertices a, b, c, d, y of degree $\Delta - 1$. Clearly, $N_{G''}(A) = V(G'')$.

Suppose $d_\Delta(d) = 2$. Then d is adjacent to only one major vertex c in G'' and $\Delta(G'' - d) = \Delta(G'')$. By Lemma 5, $\chi'(G'' - d) = \chi'(G'')$. Hence, by Lemma 3, $G'' - d$ contains a $(\Delta - 1)$-critical subgraph H, which has three major vertices a, b, y. However, since $d_\Delta(y) \geq 3$ and $dy \notin E(G)$, y must be adjacent to a, b, c. Hence, $c \in V(H)$. Again, since $d_\Delta(v) \geq 3$ for every minor vertex v of G, we have $V(H) = V(G'' - d)$ and thus $|H| = |G''| - 1 = 2n$, which contradicts Theorem 1.

Suppose $d_\Delta(d) = 3$. Since $\Delta \geq n + 3 \geq 7$, G has at least another minor vertex z not adjacent to d. Thus $\delta(G'' - \{a, d, z\}) \geq (\Delta - 2) - 3 \geq (n - 1) - 1$. By Lemma 8(i), $G'' - \{a, d, z\}$ has a 1-factor F_2 except when $G'' - \{a, d, z\} = G_0$. However, when $G'' - \{a, d, z\} = G_0$, we have $s = n - 2$ and $s = \delta(G_0) = \delta(G'' - \{a, d, z\}) \geq (\Delta - 2) - 3 \geq n - 2$, from which it follows that $\Delta = n + 3$. As z is adjacent to $\Delta - 4$ minor vertices in G, $G'' - \{a, d, z\}$ has at most $\Delta - 4 = n - 1 = s + 1$ vertices of degree $\Delta - 5 = n - 2 = s$, contradicting the fact that G_0 has $s + 2$ vertices of degree s.

Clearly $G^* = G'' - (F_2 \cup \{da\})$ is Class 2 and having six major vertices a, b, c, d, y and z. Morever, since $d_\Delta(v) \geq 3$ for any minor vertex v of G, we have $N_{G^*}(A) = V(G^*)$. As d is adjacent to only one major vertex c in G^* and $\Delta(G^* - d) = \Delta(G^*)$, by Lemma 5, $\chi'(G^* - d) = \chi'(G^*)$. Hence, by Lemma 3, $G^* - d$ contains a $(\Delta - 2)$-critical subgraph H, which has at most four major vertices a, b, y, z. From (11), we know that Δ is odd. Hence by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, $|H| \neq \Delta(H) + 1 = \Delta - 1$. Thus $|H| \geq \Delta(H) + 2$ and H has four major vertices. By the induction hypothesis on Δ, $2e(H) = (|H| - 1)\Delta(H) + 2$. Suppose $\delta(H) \leq \Delta(H) - 2$. Then $(|H| - 1)\Delta(H) + 2 = 2e(H) \leq (\Delta(H) - 2) + (|H| - 5)(\Delta(H) - 1) + 4\Delta(H)$, from which it follows that $|H| \leq \Delta(H) + 1$, which contradicts the fact that $|H| \geq \Delta(H) + 2$. Thus $\delta(H) = \Delta(H) - 1$. Now $d_{G^*-d}(v) \leq \Delta - 4 = \Delta(H) - 2$ for any $v \in (N(d)\backslash A)$ implies that $(N(d)\backslash A) \cap V(H) = \phi$. Thus $\Delta = \Delta(H) + 2 \leq |H| \leq |G^* - d| - |N(d)\backslash A|$, from which it follows that $\Delta \leq n + 1$, contradicting the fact that $\Delta \geq n + 3$. ■

Corollary 4. *Let G be a Δ-critical graph of order $2n + 1$ with $|G_\Delta| = 4$. Then either (i) $G \cong (2n-2)^{2n-3}(2n-1)^4$ or (ii) $G \cong (2n-2)(2n-1)^{2n-4}(2n)^4$.*

Proof. Since G is Δ-critical, by Lemma 2(iii), we have $\delta \geq \Delta - 2$. Now we want to show that G has at most one vertex of degree $\Delta - 2$. Suppose G has at least two vertices of degree $\Delta - 2$. Then by Theorem 3, $2(n\Delta + 1) = 2e(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(v) \leq 2(\Delta - 2) + ((2n+1) - 6)(\Delta - 1) + 4\Delta = 2n\Delta + \Delta - 2n + 1$, from which it follows that $\Delta \geq 2n + 1$, which is false. Hence

(i) $G \cong (\Delta - 1)^{2n-3}\Delta^4$ or (ii) $G \cong (\Delta - 2)(\Delta - 1)^{2n-4}\Delta^4$

By Theorem 3 again, we have

(i) $G \cong (2n-2)^{2n-3}(2n-1)^4$ or (ii) $G \cong (2n-2)(2n-1)^{2n-4}(2n)^4$. ■

Theorem 5 [4]. *Let G be a connected graph and $\Delta = \Delta(G)$. Suppose $|G_\Delta| = 4$. Then G is Class 2 if and only if, for some n, either (i) $G \cong (2n-2)^{2n-3}(2n-1)^4$, or (ii) $G \cong (2n-2)(2n-1)^{2n-4}(2n)^4$, or (iii) G contains a cut-edge e such that $G - e$ is the union of two disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2, where G_1 is Δ-critical and satisfies $G_1 \cong (2m-1)^{2m-2}(2m)^3$ or $G_1 \cong (2m-2)(2m-1)^{2m-4}(2m)^4$.*

Proof. Sufficiency. If (i) or (ii) holds, then $e(G) = n\Delta + 1 > \lfloor \frac{|G|}{2} \rfloor \Delta$. If (iii) holds, then $e(G_1) = 2m^2 + 1 > \lfloor \frac{|G_2|}{2} \rfloor \Delta$. In either case G is Class 2.

Necessity. Suppose G is Class 2. If G is Δ-critical, then by Corollary 4, (i) or (ii) holds. Suppose G is not critical. Then by Lemma 3, G contains a Δ-critical subgraph G_1, which has at most four major vertices. If G_1 has three major vertices, then by Theorem 1, $G_1 \cong (2m-1)^{2m-2}(2m)^3$

for some m. Since $\Delta(G) = \Delta(G_1) = 2m$, $\delta(G_1) = 2m - 1$ and G has four major vertices, G has exactly one edge e joining G_1 with $G - V(G_1)$. Since G is connected, $G_2 = G - V(G_1)$ must also be connected. Thus e is a cut-edge of G and the end vertex of e in G_1 is a major vertex of G.

Suppose G_1 has four major vertices. Then by Corollary 4, $G_1 \cong (2m-2)(2m-1)^{2m-4}(2m)^4$ for some m. Thus $G_2 = G - V(G_1)$ is joined to G_2 by exactly one edge (e say). ■

Final Remarks. We are writing a paper on Δ-critical graphs G having $|G_\Delta| = 5$.

References

[1] L. W. Beineke and S. Fiorini, *On small graphs critical with respect to edge-colourings*, Discrete Math. 16(1976), 109-121.

[2] A. G. Chetwynd and A. J. W. Hilton, *Regular graphs of high degree are 1-factorizable*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 50(1985), 193-206.

[3] A. G. Chetwynd and A. J. W. Hilton, *The chromatic index of graphs with at most four vertices of maximum degree*, Congressus Numerantium 43(1984), 221-248.

[4] A. G. Chetwynd and A. J. W. Hilton, *The chromatic index of graphs with large maximum degree, where the number of vertices of maximum degree is relatively small*, J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser.B 48(1990), 45-66.

[5] A. G. Chetwynd and H. P. Yap, *Chromatic index critical graphs of order 9*, Discrete Math. 47(1983), 23-33.

[6] G. A. Dirac, *Some theorems on abstract graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 2(1952), 69-81.

[7] W. T. Tutte, *The factorization of linear graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. 22(1947), 107-111.

[8] V. G. Vizing, *On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p-graph (Russian)*, Diskret. Analiz 3(1964), 25-30.

[9] V. G. Vizing, Critical graphs with a given chromatic class, *Diskret. Analiz* 5(1965), 9-17.

[10] H. P. Yap, *Some Topics in Graph Theory*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Vol. 108 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986).